UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,361	11/12/2003	James Carlton Bedingfield SR.	60027.0328US01/BS030132 6810		
	7590 10/20/200 & GOULD BELLSOU'	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 2903		PHAN, JOSEPH T			
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2614			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		10/20/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/706	,361	BEDINGFIELD, JAMES CARLTON	
		Examin	er	Art Unit	
		Joseph	T. Phan	2614	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	address
WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions sliX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta e to reply within the set or extended period for reply sply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNION event, however, may a second will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)∏ This action is for allowance exce	non-final. pt for formal matt	• •	ne merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the a fa) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-28</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers	re withdrawn from o			
10) -	Γhe specification is objected to by the Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	a) accepted or ction to the drawing(s the correction is requ) be held in abeyar uired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 (, ,
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attached	d Office Action or form F	PTO-152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in A ments have been tule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this Nationa	al Stage
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔀 Inforn	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Foration Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/706,361 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 23 objected to because of the following informalities: line 4 recites the term "constrict" which should be "construct". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 21 lines 10-13 recites "...module being operative to construct the first email comprises the message notification and consolidation module operative to convert the first notification, comprising a voicemail message, from information in the first email message" which is unclear and confusing because there are grammatical errors.

For example, in 'module being operative to construct the first email comprises' it is not known if the 'module' or 'first email' comprises another module. And secondly, in "convert the first notification, comprising a voicemail' it is not known if the 'first notification' comprises a voicemail message. Appropriate clarification and/or correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 2614

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-22, and 24-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bosik et al., Patent #6,987,840.

Regarding claims 1 and 21, Bosik teaches a method and a system for notifying an electronic mail recipient of electronic mail receipt via voicemail messaging(Fig.3 and Fig.6), comprising: a subscriber e-mail provider system(Fig.3) operative to receive a first electronic mail (e-mail) message at a subscriber e-mail box(Fig.3 and Fig.6; first step of receiving email); a message notification and consolidation module operative to monitor receipt of the first electronic mail (e-mail) message at a subscriber e-mail box(Fig.6); construct a first e-mail received notification message wherein the message notification and consolidation module being operative to construct the first email comprises the message notification and consolidation module operative to convert the first notification, comprising a voicemail message, from information in the first email message(col.1 line 50-col.2 line 11; see 112 issue above); annotate the first e-mail received notification message with a unique identification tone for identifying the first e-mail received notification message with a unique identification tone for

identifying the first e-mail received notification message (col.3 lines 31-63 and col.4 lines 12-65); transmit the first e-mail received notification message to a subscriber voicemail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-48);

determine whether the first e-mail message is disposed of in the subscriber e-mail box(col.3 lines

Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

31-63 and col.4 lines 12-65);

locate the first e-mail received notification message in the subscriber voicemail box via the unique identification tone and delete the first e-mail received notification message from the subscriber voicemail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claims 2-4 and 22, Bosik teaches the method and system of claims 1 and 21,

the message notification and consolidation module being further operative detect the receipt of a second e-mail message at the subscriber e-mail box prior to the disposition of the first e-mail message in the subscriber e-mail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67); search the subscriber voicemail box for a voicemail message having the unique identification tone and delete the first e-mail received notification message from the subscriber voicemail box if the second e-mail message is received at the subscriber e-mail box prior to disposition of the first e-mail message in the subscriber e-mail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67); Bosik's system can receive multiple email messages which changes/deletes the first

Regarding claim 23, Bosik, as best understood due to the 112 confusion above, teaches the method and system of claims 4 and 22, the message notification and consolidation module being further operative after deleting the first e-mail received notification message from the subscriber voicemail box, further comprising: constructing a second e-mail received notification message containing notification information from both the first e-mail message and the second e-mail(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67);

email received notification message with a new one).

Page 5

annotating the second e-mail received notification message with a second unique identification tone for identifying the second e-mail received notification message, and transmitting the second e-mail received notification message to a subscriber voicemail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 6, Bosik teaches the method of claim 1, whereby receiving the first electronic mail message at the subscriber e-mail box, includes receiving the first e-mail message via an e-mail provider system(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 7, Bosik teaches the method of claim 6, prior to the step of receiving a first e-mail message at a subscriber e-mail box, further comprising monitoring the subscriber email box via the e-mail provider system(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 8, Bosik teaches the method of claim 7, after receiving the first e-mail message at the subscriber e-mail box, obtaining a telephone directory number for the subscriber voicemail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 9, Bosik teaches the method of claim 8, after obtaining the telephone directory number for a subscriber voicemail box, obtaining an electronic messaging address for the subscriber voicemail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 10, Bosik teaches the method of claim 1, after transmitting the first email received notification message to the subscriber voicemail box, activating a voicemail received indication at the subscriber voicemail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 11Bosik teaches the method of claim 10, whereby activating a voicemail

received indication at the subscriber voicemail box includes activating a voicemail received indication at a subscriber voicemail box access device(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 12, Bosik teaches the method of claim 11, whereby the voicemail box access device includes a telephone(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 13, Bosik teaches the method of claim 11, whereby the voicemail box access device includes a personal digital assistant(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 14, Bosik teaches the method of claim 7, whereby monitoring the subscriber e-mail box by the e-mail provider system includes monitoring a disposition status of the first e-mail message(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 15, Bosik teaches the method of claim 14, whereby monitoring the disposition status of the first e-mail message has been disposed of in the subscriber e-mail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 16, Bosik teaches the method of claim 15, whereby determining the first e-mail message has been disposed of in the subscriber e-mail box includes determining the first e-mail message has been deleted from the subscriber e-mail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 17, Bosik teaches the method of claim 15, whereby determining the first e-mail message has been disposed of in the subscriber e-mail box includes determining the first e-mail message has been read(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 18, Bosik teaches the method of claim 1, prior to deleting the first e-

Art Unit: 2614

mail received notification message from the subscriber voicemail box, searching the subscriber voicemail box for the first e-mail notification message by searching for a voicemail message having the unique identification tone(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 19, Bosik teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising receiving the first e-mail received notification message at the subscriber voicemail box; and reviewing the first e-mail received notification message by the subscriber(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 20, Bosik teaches the method of claim 19, further comprising receiving the second e-mail received notification message at the subscriber voicemail box; and reviewing the second e-mail received notification message by the subscriber(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 24, Bosik teaches a method of notifying an electronic mail recipient of electronic mail receipt via voicemail messaging, comprising:

receiving a first electronic mail (e-mail) message at a subscriber e-mail box(Fig.6)

constructing a first e-mail received notification message wherein constructing the first

notification message comprises converting information from the first email message into a

voicemail message(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67);

annotating the first e-mail received notification message with a unique identification tone for identifying the first e-mail received notification message(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67);

transmitting the first e-mail received notification message to a subscriber voicemail box(col.3

lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67);

Art Unit: 2614

if the first e-mail message is disposed of, locating the first e-mail received notification message in the subscriber voicemail box via the unique identification tone, and deleting the first e-mail received notification message from the subscriber voicemail box(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67);

monitoring the subscriber e-mail box for receipt of additional e-mail messages(col.7 lines 57-59); and if additional e-mail messages are received at the subscriber e-mail box prior to disposition of the first e-mail message in the subscriber e-mail box, deleting the first e-mail received notification message from the subscriber voicemail box, and transmitting to the subscriber voicemail box a consolidated e-mail received notification message containing notification information from both the first e-mail message and the additional e-mail messages(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 25, Bosik teaches the method of claim 24, whereby after prior to transmitting the consolidated e-mail received notification message, annotating the consolidated e-mail received notification message with a second unique identification tone for identifying the consolidated e-mail received notification message(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 26, Bosik teaches a method of notifying a voicemail receiver of an event or other item of interest via voicemail messaging, comprising: constructing a first notification message for notifying the voicemail receiver of the event or other item of interest, wherein constructing the first notification message comprises converting information from the first email message into a voicemail message (col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).; annotating the first notification message with a unique identification tone for identifying the first

Application/Control Number: 10/706,361

Art Unit: 2614

notification message(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67); transmitting the first notification message to a subscriber voicemail box; locating the first notification message in the subscriber voicemail box via the unique identification tone; and modifying the first notification message(col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 27, Bosik teaches the method of Claim 26, whereby modifying the first notification message includes deleting the first notification message (col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Regarding claim 28, Bosik teaches the method of Claim 26, whereby modifying the first notification message includes replacing the first notification message with a second notification message (col.3 lines 31-63, col.4 lines 12-65, and col.6 lines 39-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 5 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim <u>and</u> intervening claims.

The prior art of record, does not teach, all of the limitations disclosed in claim 5 and it's intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 10

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph T. Phan whose telephone number is (571) 272-7544. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30am-6pm EST, off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,361 Page 11

Art Unit: 2614

/Joseph T Phan/ Examiner, Art Unit 2614 /CURTIS KUNTZ/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614